

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexascins, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/600,838	06/19/2003	Toshihiko Fukuhara	59,391 (72039)	6359	
21874 7590 97/09/2008 EDWARDS ANGELI, PALMER & DODGE LLP P.O. BOX 55874			EXAM	EXAMINER	
			SINGH, SATWANT K		
BOSTON, MA 02205			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			07/09/2008	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail $\,$ address(es):

patent@eapdlaw.com

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/600.838 FUKUHARA ET AL. Interview Summary Examiner Art Unit SATWANT K SINGH 2625 All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) SATWANT K. SINGH. (3) (2) David A. Tucker. (4)____. Date of Interview: 01 July 2008. c) Personal (copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description: _____. Claim(s) discussed: 1 and 6. Identification of prior art discussed: Namakita (US 7.262.870). Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The applicant's representative explained the function of the operation restricting unit listed in claims 1 and 6 and pointed out that the definition and function of the operation restricting unit is described on pages 24 and 32-34 of the specification. The examiner will conduct an updated search on the currently submitted claims.. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE. OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
PTOL-413 (Rev. 04-03) Interview Summary Paper No. 20080701

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an

Attachment to a signed Office action.

/Satwant Singh/

Examiner's signature, if required